

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

What: An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is a tool to help SFC ensure that its policies, practices and decisions are fair, meet the needs of staff, and don't inadvertently discriminate against any protected group.

Why: Scottish colleges, universities and SFC are covered by the general and specific duties of the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) set out in the Equality Act 20101. The general PSED requires us to have due regard to the need to:

- 1. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- 2. Advance equality of opportunity between different groups
- 3. Foster good relations between different groups

The specific duties require us to assess and review new and revised policies and practices against the general PSED, to use evidence, act on the results, and publish the EIA accessibly. EIAs help SFC and the institutions we fund to meet our statutory obligations to advance equality.

How:

- 1. Identify a policy owner to analyse the new or revised SFC policy, funding allocation, funded project or process to determine whether it might have a differential impact on a particular group in terms of equality, diversity and human rights.
- 2. Complete the EIA form and send it to the SFC E&D policy lead for internal QA.
- 3. Seek Director level approval of the EIA after the QA process is complete.
- 4. Return final, approved EIA to the E&D policy lead for publication on SFC's website.
- 5. Review and update the policy as required (e.g. annually, or according to conditions of grant).

Title of Policy	SFC Infrastructure Framework
Policy Owner	Martin Kirkwood
SFC Directorate	Corporate Services
EHRIA Commenced	Date 06/03/2018
Version number	2.0
EHRIA Completed	19/04/2018
Policy/practice signed off by Management	December 2017
EHRIA actions due for review on:	April 2019
Quality Assessed	30 May 2018
Publication	27 June 2018

http://www.ecu.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/external/equality-act-2010-psed-specific-duties-for-scotland.pdf

Equality Impact Assessment

Stage 1: Background information

Title of Policy:	SFC Infrastructure Framework			
EHRIA Lead Person:	Deanne Holden			
Who else is involved in the EHRIA?	Relevant HE and FE institutions and related OAMs, Property Support Service (PSS)			
Date EHRIA completed:	19/4/2018	Is this a new or	New	
Date EHRIA published and where:	27 June 2018	revised policy?	Revised ⊠	
Review date and frequency:	Annually			

Stage 2: Scoping and evidence gathering

Why are you introducing the new policy, or why are you revising an existing policy?	This policy has now been updated further to the updated Infrastructure Framework paper which was taken to Council in September 2017. The original Infrastructure paper was subject to EHRIA 11/01/2017.		
	The updated Strategy will help to shape future policy work in the areas of capital investment including building		
	condition and lifecycle maintenance, digital infrastructure and supporting the sectors' low carbon programmes.		
What is the intended	To make a positive impact of SFC Outcomes for Equality and		
outcome(s) and impact of the	Human Rights in relation to SFC's capital, low carbon and		
new policy, or making the	digital infrastructure programmes		
changes to an existing policy?			
What quantitative and/or	The infrastructure strategy considers existing policy and		
qualitative evidence as well as	legislation, including:		
case law relating to equality	 <u>Disability Discrimination Act</u> 2005 		
and human rights have you	 The Equality Act 2010 (Specific Duties) (Scotland) 		
considered when deciding to	Regulations 2012		
develop new or revise current	- <u>BREEAM</u>		
policy? i.e need	 Procurement Reform Scotland Act 2014 		
	When reviewing the impact of the strategy, we will consider above as well as:		
	Relevant Outcome Agreements		
	 Information provided to SFC from Universities / 		

	 Colleges Evidence from involvement, engagement and consultations Information provided by Property Support Service (PSS)
Who did you consult with?	 PSS SFC's Facilities Team Universities Scotland Colleges Scotland We will also engage with relevant Colleges and Universities when any work is due to commence/underway which
	affects their institutions.
What did you learn?	This EHRIA is conducted on the basis that there is an Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment requirement for each work stream that is part of the Infrastructure Strategy.
	The SFC's expectation is that any companies / organisations involved in work streams resulting from the Framework will have Equality & Diversity and Human Rights policies in place.
	We have confirmed PSS have appropriate policies in place. Work undertaken by PSS will be conducted in accordance with the Strategy.
	In 2017, our then Property Support Service (PSS) undertook a sector wide condition survey of the college sector and produced a sector wide report of estate condition indicated potential health and safety issues, amongst others. The intention is for this survey to be updated in future.
	This allowed Colleges to address their own Health & Safety issues.
	Capital Maintenance Funding will address poor estates across the sector which is to the benefit of everyone.
How did the consultation shape the policy?	This document is drafted on the basis that ensuing projects / programmes of work for each area identified in the Infrastructure Strategy address EDHR requirements.

Stage 3: Identifying outcomes and impact

Delivering on the SFC's Public Sector Equality Duty			
Consider the equality risk assessment within the context of broader staff or studen	t journey w	hich	
includes recruitment, retention, progression, promotion, training etc.			
1. How does your policy contribute to eliminating discrimination, harassment	Positive		
and victimisation? Please describe.	Negative		
	No effect	\boxtimes	
2. State how your policy advances equality of opportunity between those who	Positive		
share a protected characteristic and those who do not? Please describe.	Negative		
e.g.	No effect	\boxtimes	
Remove or minimise disadvantage		_	
Meet the needs of equality groups that are different from the needs of others			
Encourage participation in public life			
3. In which ways does this policy fosters good relations between those who	Positive		
share a protected characteristic and those who do not? Please describe.	Negative		
e.g.	No effect	\boxtimes	
· Tackle prejudice			
Promote understanding A December of the control of the contr			
4. Does your policy ensure Human Rights articles compliances?			
Compliant ⊠ Breach □			
5. Please indicate which articles your policy relates to:			
Consider:			
Article 1 - Free and equal ⊠			
Article 2 - Right to life □			
Article 3 - Prohibition of torture □			
Article 4 - Prohibition of slavery & forced labour □			
Article 5 - Right to liberty & security □			
Article 6 - Right to a fair trial (e.g. disciplinary procedures) □			
Article 7 - No punishment without law (e.g. disciplinary procedures)			
Article 8 - Right to respect for private & family life □			
Article 9 - Freedom of thought, conscience & religion ⊠			
Article 10 - Freedom of expression □			
Article 11 - Freedom of assembly & association (e.g. trade union recognition)			
Article 12 - Right to marry □			
(N.B.) Article 13 has been removed			
Article 14 - Prohibition of discrimination (e.g. people part of protected characteristic	groups) 🗆		
Protocol 1 Article 1 – Protection of property □	J 1 /		
Protocol 1 Article 2 – Right to education □			

At present, we are unable to quantify impact, but we believe it will be positive in the areas noted		
<u>below.</u> To note: this EIA relates to the overarching SFC Infrastructure Framework. Separate EIAs will		
be undertaken for projects that form part of the Infrastructure Strategy in future. It is inte	ended that	
projects will have due regard for the PSED and that this will be demonstrated in the corres	sponding	
EIAs. In the context of this Strategy, there is a commitment to future positive impact in te		
equality and diversity, but no specific examples of those impacts until projects are develop	oed.	
Please select which group(s) will be affected by the positive impact:		
Age (e.g. older people or younger people) (accessibility)	\boxtimes	
Race (e.g. people from black or any minority ethnic groups)		
Gender (e.g. women or men)		
Disability (e.g. people with visible or non-visible disabilities, physical impairments or	\boxtimes	
mental health conditions) (accessibility)		
Gender Identity (e.g. people who will change/have changed/ are changing their gender		
from that assigned at birth) (gender neutral bathrooms, changing facilities etc)		
Religion or Belief (e.g. belonging to a particular religion, holding a particular belief, or		
have no affiliation to any particular religion or belief) (provision of space/rooms which		
can be used for prayer)		
Sexual orientation (e.g. lesbian, gay, bisexual or heterosexual)		
Maternity and Pregnancy (e.g. women who are pregnant/on maternity		
leave/breastfeeding) (i.e. provision of breast feeding areas)		
Marriage and civil partnership		
Socio-economic groups		
Human rights compliance (e.g. civil political economic social and cultural rights)		

Stop check

If you identify any positive impacts, please	In Stage 4 please detail positive impacts	
move to Stage 4.		
If you identify any negative impacts that	In Stage 4 please detail negative impacts	
require mitigation please complete Stage 4.		
When considering all your responses to Stage 3	Please justify your conclusions here and	
if you selected no effect for ALL areas	move directly to Stage 5.	

Stage 4: Analysis of impact/outcomes

You have indicated that this new or revised policy will have an impact/outcome on one or more of the 3 main duties of the Public Sector Equality Duty and Human Rights articles for staff or students. Use these sections below to indicate whether the impact is positive or negative, and justify your assessment using the data and evidence you have already gathered (via statistics, consultation, etc.)

There are no quantifiable impacts / outcomes to analyse at this stage.

Detail the negative impact here:			
Potential impact:	Mitigating response:		
Potential impact:	Mitigating response:		
Please select which group(s) will be affected by	by the negative impact:		
Age (e.g. older people or younger people)			
Race (e.g. people from black or any minority et	thnic groups)		
Gender (e.g. women or men)			
Disability (e.g. people with visible or non-visible disabilities, physical impairments or mental health conditions)			
Gender Identity (e.g. people who will change/have changed/ are changing their gender from that assigned at birth)			
Religion or Belief (e.g. belonging to a particular religion, holding a particular belief, or have no affiliation to any particular religion or belief)			
Sexual orientation (e.g. lesbian, gay, bisexual or heterosexual)			
Maternity and Pregnancy (e.g. women who are pregnant/on maternity leave/breastfeeding)			
Marriage and civil partnership			
Socio-economic groups			
Human rights compliance (e.g. civil, political, economic, social, and cultural rights)			

Stage 5: Identifying options and course of action

Select a recommended course of action:	
Outcome 1: Proceed – no potential for unlawful discrimination or adverse impact or	\boxtimes
breach of human rights articles has been identified.	
Outcome 2: Proceed with adjustments to remove barriers identified for	
discrimination, advancement of equality of opportunity and fostering good relations	
or breach of human rights articles.	
Outcome 3: Continue despite having identified some potential for adverse impact or	
missed opportunity to advance equality and human rights (justification to be clearly	
set out).	
Outcome 4: Stop and rethink as actual or potential unlawful discrimination or breach	
of human rights articles has been identified.	

Outline plans to action and review the impact of the new or revised policy

- Note: any evidence that raises concern would trigger an early review rather than the scheduled date
- Indicate if there is any data which needs to be collected as part of action to be taken and how often it will be analysed
- Indicate how the person responsible will continue to involve relevant groups and communities in the implementation and monitoring of the new or changed policy

WHAT	WHY	WHO	WHEN	REVIEW POINT
Ensuring compliance of projects	To provide assurance that EDHR policies are being adhered to in planning and construction phases To ensure Institutions are reporting on how they have met EDHR requirements in projects resulting from the Infrastructure Strategy, through Outcome Agreements.	Outcome Agreement Managers (OAMs)	To be documented in relevant project reports	TBC

Summary of results, including the likely impact of the proposed policy advancing-equality and human rights

N/A at this stage

Next Steps

To consider possible Equality and Diversity impacts as policies are developed from the strategy. Initially, we will review work streams resulting from the Ten Year Strategy on an annual basis.