SFC Annual Complaints Report: 1 April 2024 – 31 March 2025

Purpose

1. This report provides a summary of complaints received and responded to during the period 1 April 2024 to 31 March 2025, including a summary of outcomes, actions and learning for SFC, to promote continuous improvement.

Background

- 2. SFC follows the SPSO's Model Complaints Handling Procedure (MCHP) which provides guidance, resources and training to support public service organisations to improve their complaints handling practices. The MCHP applies to all Scottish Government, Scottish Parliament and associated public authorities under the SPSO's jurisdiction in terms of schedule 2 of the SPSO Act 2002.
- 3. As part of this regulation, SFC is obliged to produce an annual report on the number of complaints received, the number and percentage of complaints which were responded to within the required timescales, the average time taken for a full response and the outcomes at each stage. This is a requirement even when there is a nil response. This report is published on the SFC website.
- 4. SFC values complaints and uses information from them to help improve the organisation. SFC is committed to being an open and transparent organisation that operates to the highest standards of public sector administration and management. Despite this, we recognise that occasionally things may go wrong and learn from this.
- 5. The number of complaints SFC receives is low in relation to the overall volume of engagement with higher and further education institutions and other stakeholders. However, upheld complaints (and in some cases, not upheld complaints) demonstrate that SFC takes these complaints seriously and acknowledges when something goes wrong. The outcomes of these complaints help us to learn and improve in the future.

Statistics: 1 April 2024 – 31 March 2025

Table 1 shows the complaints SFC received and performance against the Scottish Public Service Ombudsman's indicators. In relation to one complaint which was handled at Stage 2, this was not closed within 20 working days as this was a complex case.

Table 1: Complaints received and performance against SPSO indicators.

SPSO Indicator	Response	
Indicator one: total number of complaints received	Four	
Indicator two: the number and percentage of complaints at each stage that were closed in full within the set timescales of five (stage 1 complaints) or 20 working days (stage 2 complaints). Indicator three: the average time in working days for a full response to complaints at each	The number of complaints closed in full at stage 1 within five working days as % of all stage 1 complaints responded to in full: two at stage 1 (100%). The number of complaints closed in full at stage 2 within 20 working days as % of all stage 2 complaints responded to in full: one at stage 2 (50%). The number of complaints closed in full after escalation within 20 working days as % of all complaints responded to in full after escalation: N/A (none were escalated from stage 1 to stage 2). The average time in working days to respond to complaints at stage 1: 5 working days. The average time in working days to respond to complaints at stage 2: 35 working days.	
stage.	The average time in working days to respond to complaints after escalation: N/A as there was no escalation.	
Indicator four: the outcome of complaints at each stage. There are four outcome categories: resolved, upheld, partially upheld or not upheld.	The number of complaints (a) upheld, (b) partially upheld, (c) not upheld and (d) resolved as a % of all complaints closed at stage 1: upheld: 0%, Partially upheld: 0%, Not upheld: 0% and Resolved: 100%. The number of complaints (a) upheld, (b) partially upheld, (c) not upheld and (d) resolved as a % of all complaints closed at stage 2. Upheld: 0%, Partially upheld: 50%, Not upheld: 50% and resolved: 0%. The number of complaints (a) upheld, (b) partially upheld, (c) not upheld and (d) resolved after escalation as a % of all complaints closed after escalation. N/A as no complaints escalated.	

Findings, Learning and Outcomes

7. Actions taken as a result of the complaints SFC has received and closed during the last year are outlined in Table 2 below:

Table 2: Findings, learning and outcomes

SFC Case reference	Stage	Complaint	Head of Complaint outcome	Learning
122024	Stage 2	One element of the complaint related to misleading statements being provided in response to a subject access request.	This element of the complaint was upheld.	Recommendation made to the relevant team in SFC to ensure it is identifying relevant information when undertaking a SAR response.